



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

TLG
Docket No: 7666-14
28 July 2015

5 U.S.C 552(b) (6)

Dear 5 U.S.C 552(b) (6)

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552. The application was filed in a timely manner.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 14 July 2015. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You reenlisted in the Navy on 4 September 1992, and continued to serve for about five months without disciplinary incident. However, on 14 January 1993, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for making a false official statement, fraud, and failure to pay just debt. Subsequently, you were notified of pending administrative separation action by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. After waiving your procedural rights, your commanding officer recommended discharge under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. The discharge authority approved this

recommendation and directed separation under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct and on 18 March 1993, you were so discharged.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your prior satisfactory service, post service conduct, desire to upgrade your discharge, letters of recommendations, and assertion that your characterization of service was too harsh. Nevertheless, the Board concluded that these factors were not sufficient to warrant relief given your misconduct. In this regard, the Board concluded that the severity of your misconduct outweighed your desire to upgrade your discharge and assertion that your characterization of service was too harsh. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in your case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

5 U.S.C 552(b) (6)



ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director